arXiv:1404.3170v1 [math.DS] 11 Apr 2014

Dynamics of a soccer ball

Scott Crass
Mathematics Department
CSULB
Long Beach, CA 90840
scrass@csulb.edu

April 14, 2014

1 Overview

Felix Klein's work on solving the quintic turned the regular icosahedron into a mathematical industry. [Klein 1956] Nearly 100 years after Klein developed a solution, Doyle and McMullen exploited icosahedral geometry and algebra to manufacture an algorithm that harnesses symmetrical dynamics to solve the quintic. [**?**] At the core of their method is a degree-11 rational map f on $\mathbf{CP}^1$—the Riemann sphere—with two distinctive features: 1) f enjoys the same symmetries as the icosahedron and 2) its 20 critical points are 2-periodic:

$$f^2(a) = f(f(a)) = a \quad \text{and} \quad f(a) \neq a.$$

As a consequence, the critical points are superattracting pairs and the union of their *basins of attraction* have full measure on the sphere.

Due to the symmetry of the Doyle-McMullen map f, the 20-point attracting set constitutes an orbit under the icosahedral action on the sphere. However, there are 60 rotational symmetries of the icosahedron—a group isomorphic to the alternating group $\mathcal{A}_5$—so that the 20-point orbit is special. Indeed, it coincides with the icosahedron's face-centers.

There are two other special icosahedral orbits: 12 vertices and 30 edge-midpoints. For each of these sets, there is an associated map that is both 2-periodic and critical exactly on the respective orbits. A natural question is whether there are icosahedrally symmetric maps that share these properties for an orbit consisting of 60 points. After laying out the essential geometric

and algebraic infrastructure, the discussion will conduct a regulated exploration of the question, one that leads to the discovery of two maps whose geometric properties are associated with the soccer ball.

2 Icosahedral geometry, invariants, and maps

The treatment here appears in summary form. Details can be found in [Klein 1956] and [**?**].

One of the five regular polyhedra, the icoshedron consists of 20 triangles five of which meet at each of twelve vertices (Figure 1). Situating the icosahedron with vertices at 0 and ∞ and a line of reflective symmetry along the real axis, the remaining ten vertices are:

$$\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}\ ,\ \frac{-5 + \sqrt{5} \pm i\sqrt{10(5+\sqrt{5})}}{2(\pm\sqrt{5} - 5)}\ , \text{and}$$
$$\frac{2(5+\sqrt{5}) \pm i(5-\sqrt{5})\sqrt{2(5+\sqrt{5})}}{4(5 \pm \sqrt{5})}$$

where all choices of signs are made.

The group of 60 projective transformations $\mathcal{I}$ that act on $\mathbf{CP}^1$ as rotational symmetries of the icosahedron is isomorphic to the alternating group $\mathcal{A}_5$. Under the icosahedral action, a set of five tetrahedra—disjoint quadruples of the 20 face-centers—undergoes the $\mathcal{A}_5$ permutations. Actually, there are two chiral systems of five tetrahedra which can be paired to form five cubes. Along with the vertices and face-centers, the 30 edge-midpoints complete the special icosahedral orbits: points that are fixed by a member of $\mathcal{I}$ other than the identity.

We need to address a technical issue here. The icosahedral action on $\mathbf{CP}^1$ can be lifted to a subgroup $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$ of $GL_2(\mathbf{C})$ with order 240 and the structure of a *complex reflection group*; $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$ is generated by 30 order-2 complex reflections on $\mathbf{C}^2$. (See [Shephard-Todd, 1954].) Taking

$$\pi : GL_2(\mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow PGL_2(\mathbf{C})$$

to be the standard projection

$$\pi(\widehat{A}) = \{\alpha\, \widehat{A} \mid \alpha \in \mathbf{C} - \{0\}\},$$

each projective fiber $\pi|_{\widehat{\mathcal{I}}}^{-1}(A)$ of the restriction of π to $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$ contains four linear maps

$$i^k \widehat{A} \text{ for } k = 0, \ldots, 3 \text{ and where } \det \widehat{A} = 1.$$



Figure 1: Regular icosahedral structure rendered on the sphere: a configration of 15 great circles that act as mirrors of reflective symmetry. Selecting certain arcs along the circles carves the sphere into either 12 pentagons, 20 triangles, or 30 rhombuses.

Of course, an $\mathcal{I}$-orbit in $\mathbf{CP}^1$ of size n lifts to an $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$-orbit in $\mathbf{C}^2$ with $4n$ elements. In the treatment that follows, we work in the lifted setting.

Associated with the twelve-point $\mathcal{I}$-orbit $\mathcal{O}_{12}$ in $\mathbf{CP}^1$ are twelve linear forms

$$L_k = a_k\, x + b_k\, y \qquad k = 1, \ldots, 12$$

that define the members of $\mathcal{O}_{12}$ as lines in $\mathbf{C}^2$. Moreover, we can choose the L_k so that, up to multiplicative character, $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$ permutes the forms. This means that for all $\widehat{A} \in \widehat{\mathcal{I}}$,

$$L_k \circ \widehat{A} = i^\ell\, L_{k'}$$

where ℓ depends on $\widehat{A}$. However, when an element of $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$ is applied to the degree-12 polynomial

$$F(x,y) = \prod_{k=1}^{12} L_k = xy\big(x^{10} - 11\, x^5 y^5 - y^{10}\big),$$

the characters cancel and F is $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$-invariant. That is,

$$F(\widehat{A}(x,y)) = F(x,y) \qquad \text{for all } \widehat{A} \in \widehat{\mathcal{I}}.$$

Alternatively, we construct an invariant in affine terms:

$$F(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{11} (z - \lambda_k)$$

where $z = \dfrac{x}{y}$ is an affine coordinate on $\mathbf{C}$, and $\lambda_k = -\dfrac{b_k}{a_k}$ are the vertices of the icosahedron. Note that this gives a degree-11 polynomial since one of the vertices is ∞ (taken to be λ_{12}).

From classical invariant theory, we obtain a second $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$-invariant

$$H(x,y) = \mathrm{hess}(F(x,y)) = \; x^{20} + 228\,x^{15}y^5 + 494\,x^{10}y^{10} - 228\,x^5y^{15} + y^{20}$$

where

$$\mathrm{hess}(F(x,y)) = \det \begin{pmatrix} F_{xx} & F_{xy} \\ F_{xy} & F_{yy} \end{pmatrix}$$

and subscripted variables indicate partial differentiation . Furthermore, reflection group theory tells us that F and H are algebraically independent generators of the ring of $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$-invariant forms $\mathbf{C}[x,y]^{\widehat{\mathcal{I}}}$. Note that the icosahedral face-centers appear as $\{H = 0\}$.

A *relative $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$-invariant* arises from the determinant of the Jacobian of F and H relative to x, y:

$$\begin{aligned} T(x,y) = \; J_{(F,H)} &= \; \det \begin{pmatrix} F_x & F_y \\ H_x & H_y \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \; x^{30} - 522\,x^{25}y^5 - 10005\,x^{20}y^{10} - 10005\,x^{10}y^{20} + 522\,x^5y^{25} + y^{30}. \end{aligned}$$

Relative invariance means that a multiplicative character appears when $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$ acts on T. Specifically,

$$T \circ \widehat{A} = \pm T$$

where the plus sign occurs for the determinant-1 subgroup $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$ whose order is 120.

While F and T are algebraically independent, the three invariants satisfy a relation in degree 60:

$$1728\,F^5 - H^3 + T^2 = 0.$$

We can now take up the matter of icosahedrally symmetric maps. The treatment is simpler in the setting of $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$.

A map $f : \mathbf{C}^2 \to \mathbf{C}^2$ is *$\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$-equivariant* if it preserves group-orbit structure; that is, f sends an $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$-orbit to an $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$-orbit. Expressed algebraically,

$$f \circ \widetilde{A} = \widetilde{A} \circ f \qquad \text{for all } \widetilde{A} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}.$$

Classical invariant theory provides for the construction of equivariants from invariants. In the present case, the relevant cross-product-like procedure is as follows.

Proposition 2.1. *If $G(x, y)$ is $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$-invariant,*

$$\times G := \big(G_y, -G_x\big)$$

is a relative $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$-equivariant.

Applied to the *basic invariants*:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi = \ \times F &= \big(-x^{11} + 66\,x^6y^5 + 11\,xy^{10}, 11\,x^{10}y - 66\,x^5y^6 - y^{11}\big) \\
\eta = \ \times H &= \big(-57\,x^{15}y^4 - 247\,x^{10}y^9 + 171\,x^5y^{14} - y^{19}, \\
&\qquad x^{19} + 171\,x^{14}y^5 + 247\,x^9y^{10} - 57\,x^4y^{15}\big)
\end{aligned}$$

These two maps are basic in that they generate the module of $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$-equivariants over $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$-invariants.

Passing to the projective line, the basic maps also admit a geometric description. In the case of ϕ, each triangular dodecahedral face $\mathcal{F}$ wraps and twists canonically around the dodecahedral structure in such a way that

$$\phi(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{CP}^1 - \mathcal{F}_a^\circ$$

where $\mathcal{F}_a^\circ$ is the interior of the face $\mathcal{F}_a$ antipodal to $\mathcal{F}$. Canonical behavior means that each edge is, as a set, sent to the antipodal edge while vertices and edge-midpoints map to their antipodes. By symmetry, face-centers are fixed points. Around each vertex are three interior pentagonal sectors each of which ϕ opens up onto two of the pentagonal sectors at the antipodal vertex. Accordingly, the 20 dodecahedral vertices are critical and period-2. Moreover, since the degree of the critical polynomial J_ϕ is $20 = 2 \cdot 11 - 2$, the vertices exhaust the critical set. This strong kind of *critical finiteness* produces a map in which the basins of attraction at the superattracting vertices have full measure on the sphere. By considering faces of the icosahedron,

analogous phenomena occur in the case of η under which the 12 vertices have a critical multiplicity of three.

But, you might wonder: What about the identity map? It must be $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$-equivariant since it commutes with everything. The answer lies in the relation on the basic invariants which manifests itself in the regime of maps by considering the degree-31 family of maps that are equivariant under $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$:

$$g = a\,T\,\epsilon + b\,H\,\phi + c\,F\,\eta.$$

Here, ϵ is the identity map, which is projectively equivalent to $T\,\epsilon$. By elementary invariant theory, the Jacobian determinant J_f of an $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$-equivariant f is an $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$-invariant so that, for the family of "31-maps," J_f is a 3-parameter form of degree 60. However, due to the relation satisfied by F, H, and T, the family of degree-60 $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$-invariants,

$$\ell\,F^5 + m\,H^3 + n\,T^2,$$

is two-dimensional. Thus, the maps in g must also satisfy a relation, the explicit form of which is

$$5\,T\,\epsilon + 5\,H\,\phi - 3\,F\,\eta = (0,0).$$

Hence, ϕ and η produce the map $T\epsilon$ that gives the identity on $\mathbf{CP}^1$.

Since the degree of the critical polynomial J_ϕ is 20, the critical set $\mathcal{C}_\phi = \{J_\phi = 0\}$ consists of the "20-points" $\{H = 0\}$. (Note: when describing orbits, we refer to points in projective space, that is, the sphere.) By similar considerations, $\mathcal{C}_\eta = 3 \cdot \{F = 0\} = 3 \cdot \{\text{12-points}\}$ where the coefficient indicates three-fold multiplicity at each critical point.

For any group action G, when a point p is fixed by some member A of G, the image of p under a holomorphic G-equivariant is also fixed by A. In the icosahedral case, the only two possibilities for the equivariant image of a point in a special orbit are to be fixed or exchanged with its antipode. In the latter case, the point has period two. Table 1 collects the actual behavior of the basic equivariants on the special orbits.

orbit size → map ↓	12	20	30
ϕ	fixed	period-2	period-2
η	period-2	fixed	period-2

Table 1: Special orbits under basic $\mathcal{I}$-equivariants

Combining criticality and periodicity yields, in each case, a critically-finite map—the orbit of each critical point is finite—for which the critical 2-cycles are superattracting. In such a circumstance, the theory of one-dimensional complex dynamics informs us that the basins of attraction for the critical cycles are dense—indeed, have full measure—on the sphere.

3 Icosahedral maps with sixty critical points

The task is to construct maps with periodic critical points residing in a 60-point orbit. A critical polynomial with 60 roots requires a map with algebraic degree 31. Our approach will be to treat a point in the critical set as a parameter to be determined by imposing conditions on the family of 31-maps. Results of *Mathematica* computations are labeled "Facts." (Files that establish the facts are available at [Crass web].)

Let

$$f_{(a,b)} = a\,H\,\phi + b\,F\,\eta$$

be the family of 31-maps. We want to determine coefficients (A, B) and points p that satisfy the conditions:

1. p belongs to a 60-point orbit $\mathcal{I}(p) \subset \mathbf{CP}^1$
2. $\mathcal{I}(p)$ is the critical set of $f_{(A,B)}$
3. $f_{(A,B)}(\mathcal{I}(p)) = \mathcal{I}(p)$ (implies that p is periodic).

We can readily identify three 31-maps that degenerate to maps on $\mathbf{CP}^1$ of lower degree, namely,

$$f_{(1,0)} = H\phi \qquad f_{(0,1)} = F\eta \qquad f_{(5,-3)} = T\epsilon.$$

In each of these cases, one of the special icosahedral orbits constitutes the critical set with the appropriate algebraic multiplicity: three, five, and two respectively.

Finding all maps that satisfy the desired conditions is a formidable computational task. Accordingly, the search will be subject to a further restriction:

(4) $f_{(A,B)}$ exchanges p with its antipode $\widetilde{p}$ and hence, p is 2-periodic.

Working in $\mathbf{C}^2$, let $p = (z, 1)$ so that $\widetilde{p} = (1, -\overline{z})$. Requiring that $f_{(a,b)}(p) = \widetilde{p}$ determines a and b as functions of z and $\overline{z}$. When p is forced to be critical by setting

$$J(z) := J_{f_{(a,b)}}(p) = 0,$$

the polynomial $J(z)$ is divisible by $F(z)$, $H(z)$, and $T(z)$. This outcome follows from the observation above that the maps $H\phi$, $F\eta$, and $T\epsilon$ along with the appropriate special orbit each satisfy the "critical equation."

Fact 3.1. *The result of dividing J by the product FHT is*

$$\begin{aligned} M(z) =& z^{60}\overline{z} - 285z^{56}\overline{z}^2 + 2820z^{55}\overline{z} + 3410z^{54} + 3800z^{51}\overline{z}^2 - 37794z^{50}\overline{z} \\ &+ 83700z^{49} - 3799050z^{46}\overline{z}^2 + 7302980z^{45}\overline{z} + 12227175z^{44} \\ &- 15405600z^{41}\overline{z}^2 + 23401665z^{40}\overline{z} + 15580600z^{39} \\ &- 143079850z^{36}\overline{z}^2 + 80976024z^{35}\overline{z} + 168348600z^{34} \\ &+ 203866260z^{31}\overline{z}^2 - 203866260z^{29} + 168348600z^{26}\overline{z}^2 \\ &+ 80976024z^{25}\overline{z} - 143079850z^{24} - 15580600z^{21}\overline{z}^2 \\ &- 23401665z^{20}\overline{z} + 15405600z^{19} + 12227175z^{16}\overline{z}^2 + 7302980z^{15}\overline{z} \\ &- 3799050z^{14} - 83700z^{11}\overline{z}^2 + 37794z^{10}\overline{z} - 3800z^9 + 3410z^6\overline{z}^2 \\ &+ 2820z^5\overline{z} - 285z^4 - \overline{z} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, we can produce special 31-maps by solving over the reals the equations

$$R(u, v) = 0 \qquad S(u, v) = 0$$

where $z = u + i\,v$ and $M = R + i\,S$. The high degree involved thwarts efforts to bring techniques of algebraic geometry to bear on the problem.

We can, however, establish a geometric property that a solution must possess. Indeed, this property follows from a consequence of conditions (3) and (4) that we demand of a special 31-map: $\widetilde{p} \in \mathcal{I}(p)$.

First, an observation is appropriate. The holomorphic icosahedral action $\mathcal{I}$ admits a degree-2 extension to a group $\mathcal{I} \cup \alpha\,\mathcal{I}$ where α is the antipodal map. The antiholomorphic coset $\alpha\,\mathcal{I}$ contains reflections through 15 great circles—the icosahedron's mirrors of reflective symmetry as illustrated in Figure 1.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose $p \in \mathbf{CP}^1$ with $\alpha(p) := \widetilde{p} \in \mathcal{I}(p)$. Then p (and $\widetilde{p}$) belong to a great circle of reflective icosahedral symmetry.*

Proof. Since $\widetilde{p}$ belongs to the $\mathcal{I}$-orbit of p, there is an $A \in \mathcal{I}$ with $A(p) = \widetilde{p}$. The only element of the rotational icosahedral group that moves a point to its antipode is a half-turn about an axis through antipodal edge-midpoints. Furthermore, $A(\widetilde{p}) = p$. Indeed, A acts as the antipodal map on a great circle that functions as a mirror $\mathcal{M}$ under the extended icosahedral group. Moreover, each hemisphere determined by $\mathcal{M}$ is preserved by A so that for any point q away from $\mathcal{M}$, $A(q) \neq \widetilde{q}$. Hence, $p, \widetilde{p} \in \mathcal{M}$. □

In the chosen coordinates, the real axis $\mathcal{R}$—as an $\mathbf{RP}^1$—is a great circle of reflective icosahedral symmetry. For any solution p to $R = S = 0$, every member of p's orbit $\mathcal{I}(p)$ is also a solution. Since p belongs to an icosahedral mirror and $\mathcal{I}$ is transitive on mirrors, some element in $\mathcal{I}(p)$ lies on the real axis.

Fact 3.3. *We can find all* 31*-maps with the desired properties by solving over the real numbers the equation*

$$\begin{aligned} M|_{z=\overline{z}} = {} & z\big(z^2+1\big)\big(z^2-z-1\big)\big(z^4-2z^3-6z^2+2z+1\big) \\ & \big(z^4+3z^3-z^2-3z+1\big)\big(z^{48}+14z^{46}-280z^{45}+161z^{44} \\ & -1039z^{43}+364z^{42}-666z^{41}+621z^{40}+27291z^{39}-32823z^{38} \\ & +394034z^{37}-241717z^{36}+557621z^{35}-499383z^{34}+392493z^{33} \\ & +478383z^{32}-854138z^{31}+1147057z^{30}-3389037z^{29} \\ & +3865560z^{28}+1191562z^{27}+5421980z^{26}+744833z^{25} \\ & +10215020z^{24}-744833z^{23}+5421980z^{22}-1191562z^{21} \\ & +3865560z^{20}+3389037z^{19}+1147057z^{18}+854138z^{17} \\ & +478383z^{16}-392493z^{15}-499383z^{14}-557621z^{13}-241717z^{12} \\ & -394034z^{11}-32823z^{10}-27291z^9+621z^8+666z^7+364z^6 \\ & +1039z^5+161z^4+280z^3+14z^2+1\big). \end{aligned}$$

For numerical solutions to this degreee-61 equation, *Mathematica* gives 61 complex values, 19 of which are real. Since the stabilizer $\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{R}}$ of $\mathcal{R}$ in $\mathcal{I}$ is a Klein-4 action, an $\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{R}}$-orbit consists of two or four points. Some of the real solutions occur at special $\mathcal{I}$-orbits. Three of the points are vertices of the icosahedron. A fourth vertex belongs to the real axis as a point at infinity. Among the 16 remaining real solutions are four face-centers and four edge-midpoints the latter of which decomposes into two $\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{R}}$-orbits. Note that the special orbits occur at intersections of icosahedral mirrors. The remaining eight solutions on $\mathcal{R}$ decompose into two 4-point $\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{R}}$-orbits and, thereby, indicate the presence of two 31-maps with 60 critical 2-periodic points. Let $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ denote the respective 60-point $\mathcal{I}$-orbits.

Graphical evidence that there are only two such maps appears by application of Newton's method on $\mathbf{R}^2$ to the polynomials R and S. In Figure 2, the Newton map n is iterated on a "triangle" of initial conditions that is a fundamental domain for the action $\mathcal{I}$. A colored region indicates a basin of attraction associated with an attracting point under n. The triangle is carved into $\binom{1000}{2}$ cells and the center (x_0, y_0) of each cell is the starting

point for a trajectory $\left(n^k(x_0, y_0)\right)_{k=0}^{\infty}$. If the trajectory converges to one of the five known solutions to $R = S = 0$ in fewer than 200 iterations, the initial cell is given a color referenced to the attracting point. Recall that such a solution is an $\mathcal{I}$-orbit. The experimental outcome is that the vast majority of initial conditions have a trajectory that converges to a known solution in the allotted number of iterations. The three special orbits correspond to red (vertices), green (face-centers), and blue (edge-midpoints) while the basins of $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ are olive and violet. Something to observe is that, due to the choice of $\mathcal{T}$, some of the attracting points are not on the real axis, but belong to one of the other mirrors.

Fact 3.4. *Recalling the parameters (a, b) that distinguish icosahedral 31-maps, any point in the orbit $\mathcal{O}_1$ yields values $(A, B) \approx (1, 1.5954)$ and hence, a map:*

$$\begin{aligned} g = f_{(A,B)} \approx \big(&x^{31} + 1980.7608x^{26}y^5 - 26690.072x^{21}y^{10} - 129309.31x^{16}y^{15} \\ &+ 61784.718x^{11}y^{20} + 7547.2935x^6y^{25} - 42.908084xy^{30}, \\ &- 42.908084x^{30}y - 7547.2935x^{25}y^6 + 61784.718x^{20}y^{11} \\ &+ 129309.31x^{15}y^{16} - 26690.072x^{10}y^{21} - 1980.7608x^5y^{26} + y^{31}\big). \end{aligned}$$

In the case of $\mathcal{O}_2$, we get $(A, B) \approx (1, .0280899)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} h = f_{(A,B)} \approx \big(&x^{31} + 194.02245x^{26}y^5 - 14778.483x^{21}y^{10} - 36994.493x^{16}y^{15} \\ &+ 10533.539x^{11}y^{20} + 2531.8876x^6y^{25} - 11.561797xy^{30}, \\ &- 11.561797x^{30}y - 2531.8876x^{25}y^6 + 10533.539x^{20}y^{11} \\ &+ 36994.493x^{15}y^{16} - 14778.483x^{10}y^{21} - 194.02245x^5y^{26} + y^{31}\big). \end{aligned}$$

Conjecture 3.5. *The only icosahedrally symmetric 31-maps with a 60-point critical set whose members are exchanged with their antipodes are g and h.*

To pursue the behavior of g and h, we ask about the configuration of their critical points. Plotting the elements in

$$\mathcal{O}_1 = \{J_g = 0\} \text{ and } \mathcal{O}_2 = \{J_h = 0\}$$

on the sphere (Figure 3) reveals an underlying structure to the respective maps. In one case, the orbit appears as vertices of a soccer ball—a truncation of the icosahedron at the vertices. The other orbit is arranged as vertices of what we might call a "dual" soccer ball—a polyhedron with 20 triangular and 12 decagonal faces produced by truncating at the vertices of the dodecahedron, the icosahedron's dual.



Figure 2: *Left*: fundamental triangle $\mathcal{T}$ in the planar icosahedral net. *Right*: basins of attraction on $\mathcal{T}$ for Newton's method relative to R and S.

If we assign a color to each superattracting 2-cycle of soccer ball or dual soccer ball vertices and plot the basin of attraction under g and h in the appropriate color, the results rendered in the plane by *Dynamics 2* appear in Figure 4. [Nusse-Yorke 1998] Inspection reveals a basin for each point appearing in Figure 3.

For the remainder of the discussion, we will consider the soccer ball map g and leave to the interested reader the analogous treatment with respect to h.

To complete the soccer ball structure we need to determine edges that are dynamically meaningful. Geometrically, the edges are boundaries between either two hexagonal faces or a hexagonal and pentagonal face. Each hexagon-hexagon edge lies on an icosahedral mirror. Since g is symmetric under the extended group $\mathcal{I} \cup \alpha\mathcal{I}$ and a mirror $\mathcal{M}$ is pointwise fixed by an element of $\alpha\mathcal{I}$, $g(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}$. Accordingly, the segment on $\mathcal{M}$ between two vertices in "adjacent" pentagons provides a natural hexagon-hexagon edge.

A more interesting state of affairs obtains in the case of pentagon-hexagon edges. But, before turning to the question of how to specify a pentagon-hexagon edge, we should consider what there is to gain from such an accomplishment.

Recalling the geometric account of the dodecahedral and icosahedral



Figure 3: *Left*: 60 critical points of g as vertices of a soccer ball. *Right*: 60 critical points of h as vertices of a dual soccer ball.

maps of degrees 11 and 19, the soccer ball's 32 faces are tantalizing. Can we describe the behavior of g in a geometric way, that is, as a "wrap-twist" of one face onto 31 other faces? Specifically, a pentagon or hexagon would map to the complement of the antipodal polygon with vertices and edge-midpoints sent to their antipodes while face-centers are fixed. The degree of such a map would be 31 and antipodal vertices would form critical 2-cycles at which the local behavior is squaring—precisely properties that g possesses.

Now, consider a pentagon realized by five soccer ball vertices about an icosahedral vertex C—the pentagon's center. Since the only symmetry in $\mathcal{I}$ that moves one of the pentagonal vertices X to an adjacent vertex Y is a $\frac{1}{5}$-turn about C, a curve between X and Y that does not contain another pentagonal vertex cannot be setwise invariant under some element in $\mathcal{I}$. Hence, there is no natural "geometric" edge between a pentagon and adjacent hexagon. We can, however, generate such an edge dynamically.

The idea is to locate a point Z on the icosahedral mirror between X and Y that has period 2 under g and so, is fixed by g^2. In Figure 3, we can take the central point with 5-fold rotational symmetry as 0 and the horizontal great circle as the real axis on which Z resides.

Fact 3.6. $Z \approx .143827$.

Furthermore, Z is a repelling fixed point under g^2. Now, let $\mathcal{S}$ be an arbitrarily small line segment through Z perpendicular to the real axis.



Figure 4: *Left*: 30 basins of attraction for soccer ball map. *Right*: 30 basins of attraction for dual soccer ball map

Since g is conformal at Z and preserves the real axis, $g^2(\mathcal{S})$ contains Z and is perpendicular to the real axis.

Fact 3.7. *Upon iteration, the trajectory* $(g^{2k}(W))$ *of a point* W *on* S *other than* Z *tends to one of the two nearest soccer ball vertices—which are fixed by* g^2*—depending upon the sign of the imaginary part of* W*.*

However, the trajectory

$$\Sigma = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} g^k(\mathcal{S})$$

of $\mathcal{S}$, which spans between X and Y, is not the pentagon's edge. After all, Σ depends on the chosen length of $\mathcal{S}$. A further rationale stems from the fact that Σ is an analytic curve. If Σ were an edge of a pentagon, g could be extended from the interior of a pentagon by Schwarz reflection across Σ. Evidently, the loss of analyticity occurs at Z. The result would be a pentagon, rather than a hexagon, on the other side of Σ. So, the g-invariant pentagon-hexagon edge Π appears as the limit of analytic curves as the length of $\mathcal{S}$ vanishes:

$$\Pi = \lim_{|\mathcal{S}|\to 0} \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} g^k(\mathcal{S}).$$

Empirical considerations indicate that Π is very nearly the circular arc through X, Y, and Z. Figure 5 depicts on the sphere an approximation

of g's Julia set along with the pentagon-hexagon edges. The image also depicts hexagon-hexagon edges, arcs of great circles that are invariant under g^2 and whose midpoint is fixed and repelling. Note that the Julia set is the complement of g's basins of attraction.



Figure 5: Soccer ball's edges on the Julia set of g (*Mathematica* image created by Peter Doyle).

For a final observation, consider the 3-fold intersection of edges at a vertex v—two pentagon-pentagon and one pentagon-hexagon. Since the local behavior of g at v is squaring, the three edges trisect a full turn. Thus, our dynamical soccer ball realizes *equal polygonal angles* rather than equal side-lengths and can be approximated by pentagons that are regular and hexagons that are not. In this light, we can see why there are only two special 31-maps: there are two truncations of the icosahedron that produce polyhedra with 32 faces and trisected angles at each of 60 vertices. Indeed, we might wonder about higher-degree maps that are geometrically associated with ployhedra (chiral?) that are icosahedrally derived.

4 Completely solving the quintic

Determining all of the solutions to an $\mathcal{A}_5$-symmetric quintic requires that we break the symmetry by distinguishing any one of the 60 orderings of the roots from the others. In the setting realized by the icosahedron, $\mathcal{A}_5$ symmetry is broken by randomly selecting a point $z_0 \in \mathbf{CP}^1$. Such a point almost always belongs to a 60-point $\mathcal{I}$-orbit. Since the basins of the superattracting pairs of antipodal vertices have full measure, the trajectory of z_0 under g locates such a pair of vertices on the special soccer ball. Selecting every other iterate, we arrive at a single vertex:

$$z_\infty = \lim_{k \to \infty} g^{2\,k}(z_0).$$

(A similar discussion goes through in the case of h and the special dual soccer ball.) Since $\mathcal{I}(z_\infty)$ contains 60 points, g's dynamics maintains the full $\mathcal{A}_5$ symmetry-breaking of the initial choice z_0. Accordingly, one iterative run from z_0 to z_∞ suffices for the extraction of all five roots.

The algorithm that harnesses a symmetrical map to a polynomial's solution is explicitly described in [Crass 1999]. Here, I will sketch how a complete solution issues from a single data point, namely, one of the soccer ball's vertices. The key step is to associate each quintic with an icosahedral group and, thereby, with a soccer ball map.

4.1 Quintic resolvent

For purposes of exposition, we use inhomogeneous coordinates and refer to projective objects rather than work in the more cumbersome, strictly correct lifted setting.

As indicated earlier, the 20-point $\mathcal{I}$-orbit $\mathcal{O}_{20}$ of dodecahedral vertices decomposes into five sets of four tetrahedral orbits

$$\{t_{a1}, t_{a2}, t_{a3}, t_{a4}\} \qquad a = 1, \ldots, 5$$

under respective tetrahedral subgroups $\mathcal{T}_a$. Let

$$T_a(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{4} (z - t_{ak})$$

so that the T_a are degree-4 invariants under $\mathcal{T}_a$. Moreover, the T_a undergo $\mathcal{A}_5$ permutation by the action of $\mathcal{I}$.

Now, form the quintic whose roots are the $T_a(z)$:

$$P_5(s) = \prod_{a=1}^{5} \big(s - T_a(z)\big) = \sum_{k=0}^{5} a_k(z)\, s^{5-k}.$$

Since the T_a are permuted by $\mathcal{I}$, the coefficients $a_k(z)$ are $\mathcal{I}$-invariants of degrees $4\,k$ for $k = 0, \ldots 5$. The absence of $\mathcal{I}$-invariants in degrees 4, 8, and 16 forces

$$a_1 = a_2 = a_4 = 0$$

while degree considerations bear upon the remaining coefficients:

$$a_3 = b\,F(z),\ a_5 = c\,H(z),\ \text{where } a, b \text{ are constants.}$$

After a change of variable

$$s \to \frac{H^2}{F^3} s,$$

the resulting equation can be expressed as

$$P_{5_Z}(s) = s^5 + b\,Z^2\,s^2 + c\,Z^3 = 0$$

where

$$Z = \frac{F^5}{H^3}$$

is an "icosahedral function" whose values give $\mathcal{I}$-orbits.

4.2 Root extraction

As developed in [Crass 1999], selecting a value for the parameter Z amounts to choosing both a quintic P_{5_Z} and an icosahedral action $\mathcal{I}_Z(w)$ where $u = B_Z(w)$ is a parametrized change of coordinates and u is a reference coordinate that replaces z as employed previously. The group $\mathcal{I}_Z(w)$ gives rise to a function J_{5_Z} that extracts a root s_5 of P_{5_Z} given the asymptotic behavior of the $\mathcal{I}_Z$ equivariant $g_Z(w)$:

$$s_5 = J_{5_Z}(w_\infty) \quad \text{where } w_\infty = \lim_{k\to\infty} g_Z^k(w_0).$$

(We can take w_∞ to be either point in the superattracting 2-cycle.)

As a vertex in the special soccer ball $\mathcal{S}_Z$ determined by $\mathcal{I}_Z$, w_∞ (and it's g_Z-image) belongs to one of five "tetrahedral icosahedra" $\tau_a,\ a = 1, \ldots, 5$ into which $\mathcal{S}_Z$ decomposes. Each τ_a is a 12-point $\mathcal{T}_a$ orbit. Figure 6 displays the vertices of these icosahedra each of which is referenced by a color. (Of



Figure 6: Five tetrahedral icosahedra in the soccer ball's vertices

course, the configuration of the points in $\mathcal{S}_Z$ will have symmetries that depend on Z.)

To obtain a second root of P_{5_Z}, we consider the $\mathcal{T}_a$-symmetric quartic (for the relevant a)

$$P_{4_Z} = \frac{P_{5_Z}}{s - s_5}.$$

Now, in the $\mathcal{T}_a$ setting, build a root extractor J_{4_Z} that relies on our having found a point in a 12-point orbit and compute

$$s_4 = J_{4_Z}(w_\infty).$$

As a practical matter, the specific τ_a to which w_∞ belongs is unknown. However, we can determine the correct index by constructing J_{4_Z} for each a and then evaluating $P_{4_Z}(J_{4_Z}(w_\infty))$.

The algorithm continues in a similar fashion by decomposing τ_a into four 3-point $\mathbf{Z}_3$ orbits in order to obtain a solution $s_3 = J_{3_Z}(w_\infty)$ to the cubic

$$P_{3_Z} = \frac{P_{4_Z}}{s - s_4}.$$

At this stage, we have broken all of the 60-fold symmetry and the roots of the final quadratic emerge.

In order for this cascade of solutions to flow, a single iteration must produce a member of a 60-point $\mathcal{I}$-orbit; any smaller orbit would not provide a $\mathbf{Z}_3$ orbit with more than one element. For instance, suppose the dynamical output w_∞ is a 20-point and so, resides in one of the five tetrahedral orbits of size 4. After extracting one of the quintic's roots, solving the quartic factor that remains requires that we determine to which of the four 1-point $\mathbf{Z}_3$ orbits w_∞ belongs. But, having done so, the $\mathbf{Z}_3$ orbit—being a single point—cannot distinguish the roots of the cubic factor that divides the quartic.

References

[Crass 1999] S. Crass. *Solving the sextic by iteration: A study in complex geometry and dynamics.* Experiment. Math. 8 (1999) No. 3, 209-240. Preprint at `arxiv.org/abs/math.DS/9903111`.

[Crass web] S. Crass. `www.csulb.edu/~scrass/math.html`

[Doyle and McMullen 1989] Peter Doyle and Curt McMullen. *Solving the quintic by iteration.* Acta Mathematica 163 (1989), 151-80.

[Klein 1956] F. Klein. *Lectures on the icosahedron.* Dover Publ., 1956.

[Nusse-Yorke 1998] H. Nusse and J. Yorke. *Dynamics: Numerical Explorations, 2e.* Springer-Verlag, 1998 (computer program). *Dynamics 2* by B. Hunt and E. Kostelich.

[Shephard-Todd, 1954] G. Shephard and T. Todd. *Finite unitary reflection groups.* Canad. J. Math. 6 (1954), 274-304.